arXiv:1210.7441v1 [math.DG] 28 Oct 2012

THE VOLUME ENTROPY OF LOCAL HERMITIAN SYMMETRIC SPACE OF NONCOMPACT TYPE

ROBERTO MOSSA

Abstract. We calculate the volume entropy of local Hermitian symmetric spaces of noncompact type in terms of its invariant r, a, b.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULT

Let (M, g) be a compact Riemennian manifold with Riemannian universal covering $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$. The *volume entropy* of M also called the *exponential rate of the volume growth*, is the asymptotic invariant defined by

$$\operatorname{Ent}(M, g) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \operatorname{Vol}\left(B_p\left(t\right)\right), \tag{1}$$

here $\operatorname{Vol}\left(B_p\left(t\right)\right)$ is the volume of the geodesic ball $B_p(t) \subset \widetilde{M}$ of center p and radius t. Due to the compactness this limit exists, moreover it does not depend on the point $p \in \widetilde{M}$ (see [13]). Integrating by parts (1), the entropy can be equivalently defined as the infimum of the positive constants c such that the integral

$$\int_{\widetilde{M}} e^{-c\,\mathrm{d}(p,\cdot)}\, d\tilde{v}$$

converges, where $\mathrm{d}(p, \cdot)$ is the geodesic distance from p and $d\tilde{v}$ is the volume form of $\widetilde{M}$ induced by $\widetilde{g}$, namely

$$\operatorname{Ent}(M, g) = \left\{ \inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \mid \int_{\widetilde{M}} e^{-c\,\mathrm{d}(p,\cdot)}\, d\tilde{v} < \infty \right\}. \tag{2}$$

Notice that the volume entropy $\operatorname{Ent}(M, g)$ only depends on the Riemannian universal covering , therefore it make sense to define the volume entropy of $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$ as that of (M, g), i.e.

$$\operatorname{Ent}(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g}) := \operatorname{Ent}(M, g).$$

The study of the volume entropy starts with A. Manning in [13] who shows that it is always bounded above by the topological entropy of the geodesic flow on (M, g). Moreover, if g has nonpositive sectional curvature then the volume entropy and the topological entropy coincide. The volume entropy $\operatorname{Ent}(M, g)$ carries many geometric informations about (M, g). For instance G. Besson, G. Courtois and S. Gallot in their celebrated paper [3] show that compact locally symmetric spaces of negative curvature are characterized by the minimality of the volume entropy among all homotopy equivalent Riemannian manifolds with the same volume. Further, they provide another proof of Mostow's rigidity theorem in the case of rank 1 symmetric spaces (the reader is referred to [3], [4] and [5] for details).

The aim of this paper is to compute the entropy of compact quotients of irreducible Hermitian symmetric spaces of noncompact type (from now on HSSNCT) in terms of their invariants r, a and b (see next section).

Theorem 1. *Let* $(\Omega, g_{\mathrm{hyp}})$ *be an irreducible HSSNCT endowed with the hyperbolic metric* g_{hyp} *of holomorphic sectional curvature between* 0 *and* -4*. Then its volume entropy is given by*

$$\mathrm{Ent}\,(\Omega, g_{\mathrm{hyp}}) = 2\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{r} \left(b+1+a\,(r-j)\right)^2}, \tag{3}$$

where r, a *and* b *are the invariant associated to* Ω*.*

As the entropy $\mathrm{Ent}\,(\Omega, g_{\mathrm{hyp}})$ of an irreducible HSSNCT is determined by r, a and b, it is natural to ask if it determines the domain Ω, in general that is not true, indeed the domains $\Omega_I[12,2]$ and $\Omega_{IV}[18]$ (whose corresponding constant are respectively ($r = 2$, $a = 2$, $b = 10$) and ($r = 2$, $a = 16$, $b = 0$)) have the same volume entropy. Nevertheless we believe that once fixed the dimension d the volume entropy $\mathrm{Ent}\,(\Omega, g_{\mathrm{hyp}})$ determines the irreducible HSSNCT Ω.

Let now $\Omega = \Omega_1 \times \cdots \times \Omega_\ell = \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} \Omega_k$ be a product of irreducible bounded symmetric domains. The above theorem extends to the following

Theorem 2. *The volume entropy of* $\Omega = \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} \Omega_k$ *is given by*

$$\mathrm{Ent}(\Omega, g) = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \mathrm{Ent}^2(\Omega_k, g_k)}$$

where g_k *is the hyperbolic metric associated to* Ω_k*.*

The proofs of Theorem 1 and 2 use the properties of the *symplectic duality map* introduced by A. Loi and A. Di Scala in [6] (see also [11] and [12]) and use the theory of Jordan triple system associated to an HSSNCT (see section below). We finally point out that in the Appendix of [14] one can find the computation of the first eigenvalue (and hence the value of the volume entropy) of HSSNCT in terms of its root systems. In principle this could provide an alternative proof of Theorem 2 once one is able to pass from the root systems description to the one in terms of the invariants r, a, b; this seems not to be an easy task.

The paper consists of three other sections. In the next one we recall the basic material about Hermitian positive Jordan triple systems, Hermitian symmetric spaces of noncompact type and the description of the geodesic distance in polar coordinates of these spaces. Section 3 and 4 are dedicated to the proof of Theorem 1 and 2 respectively.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank Professor Andrea Loi for various stimulating discussions, Professor Gilles Carron for his interest in my work and his

comments, Professor Sylvestre Gallot for his help and continuous encouragement and Professor Guy Roos for his interest in my work and for pointing me out the volume form of an Hermitian symmetric space of noncompact type in terms of Jordan triple systems.

2. Hermitian symmetric spaces of noncompact type and Hermitian positive Jordan triple systems

We refer the reader to [16] (see also [15]) for more details on Hermitian symmetric spaces of noncompact type and Hermitian positive Jordan triple systems (from now on HPJTS).

2.1. **Definitions and notations.** An Hermitian Jordan triple system is a pair $(\mathcal{M}, \{,,\})$, where $\mathcal{M}$ is a complex vector space and $\{,,\}$ is a map

$$\{,,\} : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$$

$$(u, v, w) \mapsto \{u, v, w\}$$

which is $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear and symmetric in u and w, $\mathbb{C}$-antilinear in v and such that the following *Jordan identity* holds:

$$\{x, y, \{u, v, w\}\} - \{u, v, \{x, y, w\}\} = \{\{x, y, u\}, v, w\} - \{u, \{v, x, y\}, w\}.$$

For $x, y, z \in \mathcal{M}$ consider the following operators

$$T(x, y) z = \{x, y, z\}$$

$$Q(x, z) y = \{x, y, z\}$$

$$Q(x, x) = 2\, Q(x)$$

$$B(x, y) = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{M}} - T(x, y) + Q(x) Q(y).$$

The operators $B(x, y)$ and $T(x, y)$ are $\mathbb{C}$-linear, the operator $Q(x)$ is $\mathbb{C}$-antilinear. $B(x, y)$ is called the *Bergman operator*. For $z \in \mathcal{M}$, the *odd powers* $z^{(2p+1)}$ of z in the Jordan triple system $\mathcal{M}$ are defined by

$$z^{(1)} = z \qquad z^{(2p+1)} = Q(z)\, z^{(2p-1)}.$$

An Hermitian Jordan triple system is called *positive* if the Hermitian form

$$(u \mid v) = \operatorname{tr} T(u, v)$$

is positive definite. An element $c \in \mathcal{M}$ is called *tripotent* if $\{c, c, c\} = 2c$. Two tripotents c_1 and c_2 are called *(strongly) orthogonal* if $T(c_1, c_2) = 0$.

2.2. **HSSNT associated to HPJTS.** An Hermitian symmetric space of noncompact type Ω is uniquely determined by a triple of integers (r, a, b), where r represents the rank of Ω and a and b are positive integers. The dimension d of Ω satisfies $2\,d = r\,(2\,b + 2 + a\,(r-1))$ and the genus γ of Ω is given by $\gamma = (r-1)\,a + b + 2$. Observe that $(\Omega, g_{\mathrm{hyp}}) = \mathbb{C}H^n$ if and only if its rank is equal to 1. The table below summarizes the numerical invariants and the dimension of irreducible HSSNCT according to its type (for a more detailed description of this invariants, which is not necessary in our approach, see e.g. [1], [18]).

Type	$\Omega_I[n,m]$ $\{n \leq m\}$	$\Omega_{II}[n]$ $\{5 \leq n\}$	$\Omega_{III}[n]$ $\{2 \leq n\}$	$\Omega_{IV}[n]$ $\{5 \leq n\}$	Ω_V	Ω_{VI}
d	nm	$\frac{(n-1)n}{2}$	$\frac{(n+1)n}{2}$	n	16	27
r	n	$\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$	n	2	2	3
a	2, if $2 \leq n$ 0, if $1 = n$	4	1	$n-2$	6	8
b	$m-n$	0, if n even 2, if n odd	0	0	4	0
γ	$m+n$	$2n-2$	$n+1$	n	12	18

M. Koecher ([9], [10]) discovered that to every HPJTS $(\mathcal{M}, \{,,\})$ one can associate an Hermitian symmetric space of noncompact type, i.e. a bounded symmetric domain Ω centered at the origin $0 \in \mathcal{M}$. The domain Ω is defined as the connected component containing the origin of the set of all $u \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $B(u,u)$ is positive definite with respect to the Hermitian form $(u \mid v) = \operatorname{tr} T(u,v)$. *We will always consider such a domain in its (unique up to linear isomorphism) circled realization.* Suppose that $\mathcal{M}$ is *simple* (i.e. Ω is irreducible). The *flat* form ω_0 is defined by

$$\omega_0 = -\frac{i}{2\gamma}\partial\bar{\partial}\log \operatorname{tr} T(x,\, x).$$

If $(z_1, \ldots, z_d)$ $(d = \dim(\mathcal{M}))$ are orthonormal coordinates for the Hermitian product $(u \mid v)$, then

$$\omega_0 = \frac{i}{2}\sum_{m=1}^{d} dz_m \wedge d\overline{z}_m.$$

The reproducing kernel K_Ω of Ω, with respect ω_0 is given by

$$\left(K_\Omega\left(z,\, \bar{z}\right)\right)^{-1} = C \det B\left(z,\, z\right),$$

where $C = \int_\Omega \frac{\omega_0^n}{n!}$. When Ω is irreducible

$$\omega_{\mathrm{hyp}} = -\frac{i}{2\gamma}\partial\bar{\partial}\log\det B = \frac{\omega_B}{\gamma},$$

is the *hyperbolic* form on Ω, with associated hyperbolic metric g_{hyp} of holomorphic sectional curvature between 0 and -4 and $\omega_B = -\frac{i}{2}\partial\bar{\partial}\log\det B$ is the Bergman form associated to the Bergman metric on Ω. The HSSNCT associated to $\mathcal{M}$ is $(\Omega, g_{\mathrm{hyp}})$.

Example 1. *The hyperbolic space.* Suppose $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{C}$ and $\{x,\, y,\, z\} = x\,\overline{y}\,z$. The associated operators are

$$T(x,\, y) = x\,\overline{v}$$

$$Q(x)\,y = x^2\,\overline{y}$$

$$B(x,\, y) = (1 - x\,\overline{y})^2.$$

Therefore the domain

$$\Omega = \{z \in \mathbb{C}\,:\, B(z,\, z) > 0\}$$

is the unit disc of $\mathbb{C}$ and the hyperbolic form is

$$\omega_{\mathrm{hyp}} = -\frac{i}{2}\partial\bar{\partial}\log(1 - |z|^2). \tag{4}$$

Namely $(\Omega, g_{\mathrm{hyp}})$ is the hyperbolic space $\mathbb{C}H^1$ with the hyperbolic metric of curvature -4.

The HPJTS $(\mathcal{M}, \{,,\})$ can be recovered by its associated HSSNT Ω by defining $\mathcal{M} = T_0\Omega$ (the tangent space to the origin of Ω) and

$$\{u, v, w\} = -\frac{1}{2}\left(R_0\,(u, v)\,w + J_0\,R_0\,(u, J_0\,v)\,w\right),$$

where R_0 (resp. J_0) is the curvature tensor of the Bergman metric (resp. the complex structure) of Ω evaluated at the origin. The reader is referred to Proposition III.2.7 in [2] for the proof of (2.2). For more informations on the correspondence between HPJTS and HSSNT we refer also to p. 85 in Satake's book [17].

2.3. **Totally geodesic submanifolds of HSSNT.** We have the following result:

Proposition 1. *Let Ω be a HSSNT and let $\mathcal{M}$ be its associated HPJTS. Then there exists a one to one correspondence between (complete) complex totally geodesic submanifolds through the origin and sub-HPJTS of $\mathcal{M}$. This correspondence sends $T \subset \Omega$ to $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{M}$, where $\mathcal{T}$ denotes the HPJTS associated to T.*

2.4. **Spectral decomposition and Functional calculus.** Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a HPJTS. Each element $z \in \mathcal{M}$ has a unique *spectral decomposition*

$$z = \lambda_1\,c_1 + \cdots + \lambda_s\,c_s \qquad (0 < \lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_s)\,,$$

where $(c_1, \ldots, c_s)$ is a sequence of pairwise orthogonal tripotents and the λ_j are real number called eigenvalues of z. The integer $s = \mathrm{rk}(z)$ is called *rank* of z. For every $z \in \mathcal{M}$ let $\max\{z\}$ denote the largest eigenvalue of z, then $\max\{\cdot\}$ is a norm on $\mathcal{M}$ called the *spectral norm.* It is worth to point out that the HSSNT $\Omega \subset \mathcal{M}$ associated to $\mathcal{M}$ is the open unit ball in $\mathcal{M}$ centered at the origin (with respect the spectral norm), i.e.,

$$\Omega = \{z = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \lambda_j\,c_j \mid \max\{z\} = \max_j\{\lambda_j\} < 1\}.$$

The rank of $\mathcal{M}$ is $\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{M}) = \max\{\mathrm{rk}(z)\,|\,z \in \mathcal{M}\}$, moreover $\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathrm{rk}(\Omega) = r$. The elements z such that $\mathrm{rk}\,z = r$ are called *regular.* If $z \in \mathcal{M}$ is regular, with spectral decomposition

$$z = \lambda_1\,e_1 + \cdots + \lambda_r\,e_r \qquad (\lambda_1 > \cdots > \lambda_r > 0),$$

then $(e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ is a *(Jordan) frame of* $\mathcal{M}$, that is, a maximal sequence of pairwise orthogonal minimal tripotents.

Using the spectral decomposition, it is possible to associate to an *odd* function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ a map $F : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ as follows. Let $z \in \mathcal{M}$ and let

$$z = \lambda_1\,c_1 + \cdots + \lambda_s\,c_s, \quad 0 < \lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_s$$

be the spectral decomposition of z. Define the map F by

$$F\,(z) = f\,(\lambda_1)\,c_1 + \cdots + f\,(\lambda_s)\,c_s.$$

If f is continuous, then F is continuous. If

$$f\left(t\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{N}a_{k}\,t^{2k+1}$$

is a polynomial, then F is the map defined by

$$F\left(z\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{N}a_{k}\,z^{\left(2k+1\right)}\qquad\left(z\in\mathcal{M}\right).$$

If f is analytic, then F is real-analytic. If f is given near 0 by

$$f\left(t\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}a_{k}\,t^{2k+1},$$

then F has the Taylor expansion near $0\in\mathcal{M}$:

$$F\left(z\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}a_{k}\,z^{\left(2k+1\right)}.$$

Example 2. Let $P=\left(\mathbb{C}H^{1}\right)^{\ell}\subset\left(\mathbb{C}^{\ell},\{,,\}\right)$ be the polydisk embedded in is its associated HPJTS $\left(\mathbb{C}^{\ell},\{,,\}\right)$, endowed with the hyperbolic metric induced by (4). Define $\tilde{c}_{j}=\left(0,\ldots,0,\,e^{i\theta_{j}},\,0,\ldots,0\right),\ 1\leq j\leq\ell$. The $\tilde{c}_{j}$ are mutually strongly orthogonal tripotents. Given $z=\left(\rho_{1}e^{i\theta_{1}},\ldots,\rho_{\ell}e^{i\theta_{\ell}}\right)\in\mathbb{C}^{\ell}$, $z\neq0$, then up to a permutation of the coordinates, we can assume $0\leq\rho_{1}\leq\rho_{2}\leq\cdots\leq\rho_{\ell}$. Let i_{1}, $1\leq i_{1}\leq\ell$, the first index such that $\rho_{i_{1}}\neq0$ then we can write

$$z=\rho_{i_{1}}\left(\tilde{c}_{i_{1}}+\cdots+\tilde{c}_{i_{2}-1}\right)+\rho_{i_{2}}\left(\tilde{c}_{i_{2}}+\cdots+\tilde{c}_{i_{3}-1}\right)+\cdots+\rho_{i_{s}}\left(\tilde{c}_{i_{s}}+\cdots+\tilde{c}_{i_{s+1}-1}\right)$$

with $0<\rho_{i_{1}}<\rho_{i_{2}}<\cdots<\rho_{i_{s}}=\rho_{\ell}$ and $i_{s+1}=\ell+1$. The c_{j}'s, defined by $c_{j}=\tilde{c}_{i_{j}}+\cdots+\tilde{c}_{i_{j+1}-1}$, are still mutually strongly orthogonal tripotents and $z=\lambda_{1}\,c_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{s}\,c_{s}$ with $\lambda_{j}=\rho_{i_{j}}$, is the spectral decomposition of z.

Suppose that $z=\sum_{j=1}^{\ell}\lambda_{j}\,c_{j}$ is a regular point, then $c_{j}=e^{i\theta_{j}}$, $1\leq j\leq l$. So the exponential map $\exp_{0}^{P}:\mathbb{C}^{\ell}\cong T_{0}P\rightarrow P$ can be written as

$$\exp_{0}^{P}\left(z\right)=\left(\tanh\left(\left|z_{1}\right|\right)\frac{z_{1}}{\left|z_{1}\right|},\ldots,\tanh\left(\left|z_{\ell}\right|\right)\frac{z_{\ell}}{\left|z_{\ell}\right|}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{\ell}\tanh\left(\lambda_{j}\right)c_{j}\tag{5}$$

and $\exp_{0}^{P}\left(0\right)=0$. Note that $\exp_{0}^{P}\left(z\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{\ell}\tanh\left(\lambda_{j}\right)c_{j}$ is the spectral decomposition of $\exp_{0}^{P}\left(z\right)$. The distance from the origin of $\exp_{0}^{P}\left(0\right)=0$ is given by

$$\mathrm{d_{hyp}}(0,\,\exp_{0}^{P}(z))=\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{\ell}\lambda_{j}^{2}}.\tag{6}$$

2.5. **Polar coordinates.** Let M be the set ot tripotents elements of the positive Jordan triple of $\mathcal{M}$. Then M is a compact submanifold of $\mathcal{M}$ (with connected components of different dimensions). The *height* k of a tripotent element c is the maximal length of a decomposition $c=c_{1}+\cdots+c_{k}$ into a sum of pairwise orthogonal (minimal) tripotents. Minimal tripotents have height 1, maximal tripotents have height $r=\mathrm{rk}\,\mathcal{M}$. Denote by M_{k} the set of tripotents of height k. *If $\mathcal{M}$ is simple (that is, if Ω is irreducible)*, the submanifolds M_{k} are the connected components of M.

The set $\mathcal{F}$ of frames (also called Fürstenberg-Satake boundary of Ω):

$$\mathcal{F} = \{(c_1, \ldots, c_r) \mid c_j \in M_1,\ c_j \perp c_k\ (1 \leq j < k \leq r)\},$$

(where $c_j \perp c_k$ means orthogonality of tripotents: $T(c_j, c_k) = 0$) is a compact submanifold of $\mathcal{M}^r$. The map $F : \{\lambda_1 > \cdots > \lambda_r > 0\} \times \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ defined by:

$$((\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r), (c_1, \ldots, c_r)) \mapsto \sum \lambda_j\, c_j \tag{7}$$

is a diffeomorphism onto the open dense set $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ of regular elements of $\mathcal{M}$, moreover its restriction

$$\{1 > \lambda_1 > \cdots > \lambda_r > 0\} \times \mathcal{F} \to \Omega_{\mathrm{reg}}$$

is a diffeomorphism onto the set Ω_{reg} of regular elements of Ω. This map plays the same role as polar coordinates in rank one.

2.6. **Geodesics and geodesic distance of HSSNT.** Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a HPJTS with its associated HSSNCT $\Omega \subset \mathcal{M}$. For every point $z \in \mathcal{M} \cong T_0\Omega$, by the polydisc Theorem (see [8]), there exists a totally geodesic polydisc $P = \left(\mathbb{C}H^1\right)^r \subset \Omega$ of maximal rank $r = \mathrm{rk}(\Omega)$, trough the origin $0 \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $z \in T_0P$. Assume that $z = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j\, c_j$ is a regular point then by Proposition 1 and (5), the exponential map in polar coordinates is given by

$$\exp_0^{\Omega}(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \tanh(\lambda_j)\, c_j.$$

On the other hand, by (6), the distance from the origin of z is given by

$$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{hyp}}(0,\, z) = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{r} \operatorname{arctanh}^2(\lambda_j)}. \tag{8}$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1

In order to prove Theorem 1 we need some properties of the symplectic duality map associated to an HSSNCT $(\Omega, g_{\mathrm{hyp}})$. Recall that the symplectic duality map is a diffeormophism $\Psi : \mathcal{M} \to \Omega \subset \mathcal{M}$ such that $\Psi(0) = 0$ (where 0 denotes the origin of $\mathcal{M}$), $\Psi^*\omega_{\mathrm{hyp}} = \omega_0$ and hence $\Psi^* \frac{\omega_{\mathrm{hyp}}^n}{n!} = \frac{\omega_0^n}{n!}$. Therefore Ψ provides global symplectic coordinates for $(\Omega, g_{\mathrm{hyp}})$. In polar coordinates the the symplectic duality map is given by

$$\Psi(t_1\, c_1 + \cdots + t_r\, c_r) = \left(\frac{t_1}{\sqrt{|t_1|^2 + 1}}\, c_1 + \cdots + \frac{t_r}{\sqrt{|t_r|^2 + 1}}\, c_r \right).$$

The composition of Ψ with the distance (8) is written:

$$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{hyp}}\left(0, \Psi(t_1 v_1 + \cdots + t_r v_r)\right) = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{r} \operatorname{arctanh}^2 \frac{|t_j|}{\sqrt{|t_j|^2 + 1}}} = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{r} \left(\sinh^{-1}|t_j|\right)^2}. \tag{9}$$

Let us denote $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1 > \cdots > \lambda_r > 0\}$. Let $F : \Lambda \times \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ the polar coordinates map given in (7). The pullback of the flat volume form $\frac{\omega_0^n}{n!}$ (see [7, (5.1.1)] and [12]) is

$$F^* \frac{\omega_0^n}{n!} = \Theta \wedge \prod_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_j^{2b+1} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq r} (\lambda_j^2 - \lambda_k^2)^a\ d\lambda_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\lambda_r \tag{10}$$

where Θ is a volume form on the compact manifold $\mathcal{F}$. By (9) and (10) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega e^{-c\,\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{hyp}}(p_0,\cdot)}\,\frac{\omega_{\mathrm{hyp}}^n}{r!} &= \int_{\mathcal{M}} e^{-c\,\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{hyp}}(p_0,\Psi(x))}\,\frac{\omega_0^n(x)}{r!}\\ &= K\int_\Lambda e^{-c\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^r\left(\sinh^{-1}\lambda_j\right)^2}}\prod_{j=1}^r\lambda_j^{2b+1}\prod_{1\le j<k\le r}(\lambda_j^2-\lambda_k^2)^a\;d\lambda_1\wedge\cdots\wedge d\lambda_r.\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

Where $K=\int_{\mathcal{F}}\Theta$. In order to calculate $\mathrm{Ent}(\Omega,g_{\mathrm{hyp}})$, by definition (2), we have to determine the minimum of c such that the integral (11) converge. By substituting the variables λ_j with $\sinh(t_j)$ we are reconducted to the study of the following integral:

$$\int_\Lambda e^{-c\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^r t_j^2}}\prod_{j=1}^r(\sinh t_j)^{2b+1}\prod_{1\le j<k\le r}(\sinh^2 t_j-\sinh^2 t_k)^a\prod_{j=1}^r(\cosh t_j)\;dt_1\wedge\cdots\wedge dt_r,$$

in spherical coordinates $G: S^{r-1}\cap\Lambda\times\mathbb{R}$, $G(x,\rho)=\rho\,x$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{S^{r-1}\cap\Lambda}\int_0^\infty e^{-c\,\rho}\prod_{j=1}^r(\sinh(\rho\,x_j))^{2\,b+1}\prod_{1\le j<k\le r}(\sinh^2(\rho\,x_j)-\sinh^2(\rho\,x_k))^a\cdot\\ \cdot\prod_{j=1}^r(\cosh(\rho\,x_j))\,\rho^{r-1}\;d\rho\,dv_{S^{r-1}}.\end{aligned}$$

where $dv_{S^{r-1}}$ is the standard volume form on S^{r-1}. Since the function $e^{-\rho}$ is bounded, by dominated convergence theorem, the convergence of the previous integral is equivalent to the convergence following integral

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^\infty e^{-c\,\rho}\prod_{j=1}^r e^{\rho\,(2\,b+1)\,x_j(t)}\prod_{1\le j<k\le r}\left(e^{2\,\rho\,x_j}-e^{2\,\rho\,x_k}\right)^a\prod_{j=1}^r e^{\rho\,x_j}\,\rho^{r-1}\;d\rho\\ &=\int_0^\infty e^{-c\,\rho}e^{\rho\,(2\,b+1)\,\sum_{j=1}^r x_j}\left(\sum_\sigma\mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)\,e^{2\,a\,\rho\,\sum_{j=1}^{r-1}(r-j)\,x_{\sigma(j)}}\right)e^{\rho\,\sum_{j=1}^r x_j}\,\rho^{r-1}\;d\rho,\end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma=(\sigma_1,\dots,\sigma_r)$ is a permutation of $(1,\dots,r)$ and $x_1>\cdots>x_r$. As for every $\varepsilon>0$ and for sufficiently large ρ we have $\rho^{r-1}<e^{\varepsilon\,\rho}$ the previous integral is convergent if and only if

$$c>\lim_{\varepsilon\to0^+}(2\,b+2)\sum_{j=1}^r x_j+2\,a\sum_{j=1}^{r-1}(r-j)\,x_{\sigma(j)}+\varepsilon$$

for every choice of σ and $(x_1,\dots,x_r)\in S^{r-1}\cap\Lambda$. Therefore the volume entropy is given by

$$\mathrm{Ent}\,(\Omega,g_{\mathrm{hyp}})=\max_{x_1^2+\cdots+x_r^2=1}\left\{2\,(b+1)\sum_{j=1}^r|x_j|+2\,a\sum_{j=1}^r(r-j)\,|x_j|\right\}.$$

Using the method of Lagrange multipliers we see that

$$\max_{x_1^2+\cdots+x_r^2=1}\left\{2\,(b+1)\sum_{j=1}^r|x_j|+2\,a\sum_{j=1}^r(r-j)\,|x_j|\right\}=2\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^r(b+1+a\,(r-j))^2}$$

attained for

$$x_k=\frac{b+1+a\,(r-k)}{\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^r(b+1+a\,(r-j))^2}},\quad k=1,\dots,r.$$

and this concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Let now $\Omega = \prod_{k=1}^{\ell} \Omega_k$ be a product of irreducible bounded symmetric domains and let (a_k, b_k, r_k) be the invariants associated to Ω_k. As the symplectic duality map of Ω is the product of the symplectic duality map of each Ω_k, arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1, we are reconducted to study of the converging of the following integral

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-c\,\rho} e^{\rho\,\sum_{k=1}^{\ell}\left((2\,b_k+2)\,\sum_{j=1}^{r} x_{k,j}\right)} \prod_{k=1}^{\ell}\left(\sum_{\sigma_k} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma_k)\, e^{2\,a_k\,\rho\,\sum_{j=1}^{r_k-1}(r_k-j)\,x_{k,\sigma_k(j)}}\right)\rho^{r-1}\,d\rho,$$

where $\sigma_k = (\sigma_k(1), \dots, \sigma_k(r_k))$ is a permutation of $(1, \dots, r_k)$ and $x_{k,1} > \cdots > x_{k,r_k}$. Therefore the volume entropy is given by

$$\mathrm{Ent}\,(\Omega, g_{\mathrm{hyp}}) = \max_{\sum_{k,j} x_{k,j}^2=1}\left\{2\sum_{k=1}^{\ell}\left((\,b_k+1)\sum_{j=1}^{r_k}|x_{k,j}| +\, a_k\sum_{j=1}^{r_k}(r_k-j)\,|x_{k,j}|\right)\right\}.$$

Using the method of Lagrange multipliers we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\max_{\sum_{k,j} x_{k,j}^2=1}&\left\{2\sum_{k=1}^{\ell}\left((\,b_k+1)\sum_{j=1}^{r_k}|x_{k,j}| +\, a_k\sum_{j=1}^{r_k}(r_k-j)\,|x_{k,j}|\right)\right\}\\ &= 2\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{\ell}\sum_{j=1}^{r_k}\left(b_k+1+a_k\,(r_k-j)\right)^2}\\ &= \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{\ell}\mathrm{Ent}^2(\Omega_k, g_k)}\end{aligned}$$

attained for

$$x_{k,j} = \frac{b_k+1+a_k\,(r_k-j)}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{\ell}\sum_{j=1}^{r_k}\left(b_k+1+a_k\,(r_k-j)\right)^2}}, \quad k = 1, \dots, \ell,\ j = 1, \dots, r_k.$$

and this concludes the proof of Theorem 2.

Remark 1. The volume entropy $\mathrm{Ent}\,(\Omega, g_B)$ of a irreducible HSSNCT with respect to the Bergman metric $g_B = \gamma\, g_{\mathrm{hyp}}$ is given by

$$\mathrm{Ent}\,(\Omega, g_B) = \frac{\mathrm{Ent}\,(\Omega, g_{\mathrm{hyp}})}{\sqrt{\gamma}}$$

This follows by the definition of the volume entropy (2) and by the fact that the distance with respect the Bergman metric satisfies $\mathrm{d}_B\,(p,q) = \sqrt{\gamma}\,\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{hyp}}\,(p,q)$.

REFERENCES

[1] J. Arazy, *A Survey of Invariant Hilbert Spaces of Analytic Functions on Bounded Symmetric Domains*, Contemporary Mathematics 185 (1995).

[2] W. Bertram, *The geometry of Jordan and Lie structures*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1754, Springer-Verlag (2000).

[3] G. Besson, G. Courtois, S. Gallot, *Entropies et rigidités des espaces localement symétriques de courbure strictement négative*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 5 (1995), 731-799.

[4] G. Besson, G. Courtois, S. Gallot, *Minimal entropy and Mostow's rigidity theorems*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 16 (1996), no. 4, 623-649.

[5] G. Besson, G. Courtois, S. Gallot, *Lemme de Schwarz réel et applications géométriques*, Acta Math. 183 (1999), no. 2, 145-169.

[6] A. Di Scala, A. Loi, *Symplectic duality of symmetric spaces*, Adv. Math. 217 (2008), no. 5, 2336-2352.

[7] A. Korányi, Function spaces on bounded symmetric domains, pp. 183-282, in *J. Faraut, S. Kaneyuki, A. Korányi, Q.k. Lu, G. Roos, Analysis and Geometry on Complex Homogeneous Domains*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 185, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2000.

[8] S. Helgason, *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 34. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001. xxvi+641 pp. ISBN: 0-8218-2848-7.

[9] M. Koecher, *The Minnesota Notes on Jordan Algebras and Their Applications*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1710, Springer-Verlag (1999).

[10] M. Koecher, *An elementary approach to Bounded Symmetric Domains,* Rice University (1969).

[11] A. Loi, R. Mossa, *The diastatic exponential of a symmetric space*, Math. Z. 268 (2011), 3-4, 1057-1068.

[12] A. J. Di Scala, A. Loi and G. Roos, *The bisymplectomorphism group of a bounded symmetric domain*, Transformation Groups Vol. 13, Number 2 (2008), 283-304.

[13] A. Manning, *Topological entropy for geodesic flows*, Ann. of Math. (2) 110 (1979), no. 3, 567-573.

[14] N. Lohoué, S. Mehdi, *Estimates for the heat kernel on differential forms on Riemannian symmetric spaces and applications*, Asian J. Math. 14 (2010), no. 4, 529-580.

[15] O. Loos, *Bounded Symmetric Domains and Jordan pairs,* Lecture Notes, Irvine (1977).

[16] G. Roos, Jordan triple systems, pp. 425-534, in *J. Faraut, S. Kaneyuki, A. Korányi, Q.k. Lu, G. Roos, Analysis and Geometry on Complex Homogeneous Domains*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 185, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2000.

[17] I. Satake, *Algebraic structures of symmetric domains*, Publications of the Mathematical Society of Japan 14, Kano Memorial Lectures 4, Iwanami Shoten Pub. and Princeton University Press (1980).

[18] G. Zhang, *Bloch Constants of Bounded Symmetric Domains*, Trans. of the American Math. Society 349 (1997), no. 7, 2941–2949.

Laboratoire de Mathématiques Jean Leray (UMR 6629) CNRS, 2 rue de la Houssinière – B.P. 92208 – F-44322 Nantes Cedex 3, France

E-mail address: `roberto.mossa@gmail.com`